

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/629,796	07	7/30/2003	Jae-Hwan Park	05823.0249	9431	
22852	7590	06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER				VANOY, TIMOTHY C		
LLP 901 NEW Y	ORK AVE	NUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		20001-4413		1754		
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,796	PARK ET AL.	
Offic Acti n Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n	appears n the cov r sheet with	h th c rrespondence address	-
Period for Reply	DIVICETTO EVOIDE 2 M	NITU(6) OD TUIDTV (20) DA)	/ e
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 May 2006.		
·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disp sition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	:
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	′	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,796

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a) The applicants' claims are drawn to a method for fabricating ZnO nanostructures from Zn gas, but none of the claims particularly point and distinctly set forth any process steps for making the ZnO nanostructure from the Zn gas. All of the claim limitations appear to be drawn to making the Zn gas, but there does not appear to be any process steps recited for making the claimed ZnO nanostructure, per se, from the Zn gas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the applicants' description of the Huang et al. article, *Advanced Materials*, Vol. 13, pg.113 (2001) set forth on pg. 5, 4th full paragraph in the applicants' specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,796 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

The applicants admit on pg. 5, 4th full paragraph in their specification that the Huang et al. article sets forth a process for making ZnO nanowire by reacting coated Au with Zn gas to produce an Au-Zn alloy which is transformed into the ZnO nanowire.

The limitations in at least applicants' claim 1 which describe the use of a gas mixture comprising oxygen gas and argon gas to make the Zn gas is noted and the anticipated argument that this limitation distinguishes the claims from the Huang et al. article is also noted, however the applicants' invention in the preamble of applicants' claim 1 is not directed toward an invention for making Zn gas, but toward making ZnO nanostructures **from** the Zn gas. In other words, even if there is a difference in making the Zn gas, this difference would not distinguish the claims from the claimed process for making the ZnO nanostructure **from** the Zn gas. Limitations directed to making the Zn gas, per se, are not seen to further limit the claimed use of the same Zn gas to make the claimed ZnO nanostructures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted with their amendment filed on May 26, 2006, with respect to the pending claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35USC112 rejection of claims 2,4, 6, 8, 10 and 11 set forth in the Office Action mailed on Feb. 28, 2006 has been withdrawn. The objection of claims 1, 3 and 4 set forth in the Office Action mailed on Feb. 28, 2006 has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy C. Vanoy whose telephone number is 571-272-8158. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy C Vanoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754